





1. How much tax does each barrel normally pay into the OSLTF? 0.08	Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
New much tax does each barrel normally pay into the OSLTF? Rate of tax (\$/barrel)	Note 1 Note 2 Note 3 Note 4 Note 4 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Rate of tax (\$/barrel) 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.0	Note 2 Note 3 Note 4 Note 4 Om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
III. Are OSLTF balances so high that tar sands would be not need to pay OSLTF fees regardless of their special exemption? Collection limit (Smillions) 2,000 Unobligated balance, FY12 (Smillions) 130 Conclusion: statutory collection cap will not limit the subsidy going to dilbit from being exempted from OSLTF funding. III. What portion of oil in the Keystone System is exempt from paying the OSLTF tax? Project mix of flows 80% 75% Syncrude 20% 100% Weighted Average exemption IV. How much exempt oil will the Keystone system carry, and how much taxes will be avoided due to the tar sands exclusion from Capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt Capacity (Bbls/day) Smillions Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Note 2 Note 3 Note 4 Note 4 Om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Collection limit (\$millions) Unobligated balance, FY12 (\$millions) Conclusion: statutory collection cap will not limit the subsidy going to dilbit from being exempted from OSLTF funding. III. What portion of oil in the Keystone System is exempt from paying the OSLTF tax? Project mix of flows Project mix of flows Syncrude 20% 100% Weighted Average exemption IV. How much exempt oil will the Keystone system carry, and how much taxes will be avoided due to the tar sands exclusion from the capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt Capacity Capacity (Bbls/day) Smillions Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Note 3 Note 4 Note 4 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Collection limit (\$millions) Unobligated balance, FY12 (\$millions) Conclusion: statutory collection cap will not limit the subsidy going to dilbit from being exempted from OSLTF funding. III. What portion of oil in the Keystone System is exempt from paying the OSLTF tax? Project mix of flows Project mix of flows Syncrude 20% 100% Weighted Average exemption IV. How much exempt oil will the Keystone system carry, and how much taxes will be avoided due to the tar sands exclusion from the capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt Capacity (Bbls/day) Smillions Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Note 3 Note 4 Note 4 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Unobligated balance, FY12 (\$millions) Conclusion: statutory collection cap will not limit the subsidy going to dilbit from being exempted from OSLTF funding. III. What portion of oil in the Keystone System is exempt from paying the OSLTF tax? Project mix of flows Project mix of flows Syncrude Weighted Average exemption IV. How much exempt oil will the Keystone system carry, and how much taxes will be avoided due to the tar sands exclusion from the capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt Capacity Capacity (Bbls/day) Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.4 10.6 4.1 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Note 3 Note 4 Note 4 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Unobligated balance, FY12 (\$millions) Conclusion: statutory collection cap will not limit the subsidy going to dilbit from being exempted from OSLTF funding. III. What portion of oil in the Keystone System is exempt from paying the OSLTF tax? Project mix of flows Yof this flow exempt from OSLTF Dilbit 80% 75% Syncrude 20% 100% Weighted Average exemption IV. How much exempt oil will the Keystone system carry, and how much taxes will be avoided due to the tar sands exclusion from the capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt Capacity Capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt Capacity Capacity Smillions Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Note 3 Note 4 Note 4 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Conclusion: statutory collection cap will not limit the subsidy going to dilbit from being exempted from OSLTF funding. III. What portion of oil in the Keystone System is exempt from paying the OSLTF tax? Project mix of flows % of this flow exempt from OSLTF	Note 4 Om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
III. What portion of oil in the Keystone System is exempt from paying the OSLTF tax? Project mix of flows 80% 75% Syncrude 20% Weighted Average exemption IV. How much exempt oil will the Keystone system carry, and how much taxes will be avoided due to the tar sands exclusion fro Capacity (Bbls/day) Capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt Capacity (Capacity (Bbls/day) Smillions Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 1	om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Project mix of flows % of flows % of this flow exempt from OSLTF	om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Project mix of flows % of flows % of this flow exempt from OSLTF	om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Dilbit 80% 75%	om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Dilbit 80% 75%	om OSLTF? Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Syncrude 20% 100% 80.0%	Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Weighted Average exemption 80.0%	Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
No.	Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt (Bbls/day) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 20	Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Capacity (Bbls/day) Est. Exempt (Bbls/day) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 20	Total 2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
(Bbls/day) Capacity 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 21 Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	2010-17 Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
(Bbls/day) Capacity 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 21 Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Commissioning June 77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Keystone Phase 1 435,000 348,000 5.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 11.4 Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	77.5 2010 (note 5) Incremental capacity, commissioned
Keystone Phase 2 (Cushing Extension) 156,000 124,800 na na 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 4.1	Incremental capacity, commissioned
	commissioned
	22 N Fahruary 2011 (nota 5
Keystone XL (phases 3 and 4) 830,000 664,000 na na na na na 19.4 19.4 21.8	22.0 February 2011 (note 5
Keystone XL (phases 3 and 4) 830,000 664,000 na na na na na 19.4 19.4 21.8	Incremental capacity,
Keystone XL (phases 3 and 4) 830,000 664,000 na na na na na 19.4 19.4 21.8	expected
Keystone XL (phases 3 and 4) 830,000 664,000 na na na na na 19.4 19.4 21.8	commissioning Januar
Reystone XL (pnases 3 and 4) 830,000 664,000 na na na na 19.4 19.4 21.8	60.6 2015 (notes 5 and 6)
	Total OSTLF subsidies
	to TransCanada tar
Total for Keystone system 1,421,000 1,136,800 5.1 10.2 13.5 13.8 13.8 33.2 33.2 37.3	160.1 sands, post-XL
Current long-term contracted capacity 1,100,000	Note 6
6	
Notes/Sources	
(1) Rates per 26 USC 4611. No collections past 31 December 2017 authorized under present law.	
(2) Limit based on unobligated balances, per 26 USC 4611(f)(1).	
(3) Office of Management and Budget, "Balances for Budget Authority: Budget for Fiscal Year 2012," accessed 16 February 2012.	
http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/budget/fy2012/assets/balances.pdf	
(4) The U.S. State Department's Pipeline Temperature Effects Study for Keystone XL states that flows from Canada will be 80/20-dilbit/SCO (I	(page L-2).
http://keystonepipeline-xl.state.gov/documents/organization/182235.pdf	
Alberta dilbit is expected to be 25 percent non-exempt diluents, per Dr. Jenny Been, Comparison of the Corrosivity of Dilbit and Convention	ional Crude,
analysis prepared for Alberta Innovates Energy and Environment Solutions, September 2011, p. 8.	
http://www.ai-ees.ca/media/39178/dilbit-versus-conventional-crude_new_nov28.pdf	
(5) TransCanada, "Keystone Pipeline System" brouchure, February 2011, accessed 16 February 2012; since removed.	
http://www.transcanada.com/docs/Key_Projects/keystone.pdf	
Buddy Ives, "Keystone Pipeline Project Moving Toward Completion," Pipeline & Gas Journal, September 2010, accessed April 18, 2012	
http://www.pipelineandgasjournal.com/keystone-pipeline-project-moving-toward-completion?page=show	
"Keystone's Cushing Extension Begins Deliveries to Oklahoma," Pipeline & Gas Journal , February 2011, accessed April 18, 2012	
(6) The Houston Exension project, announced in December 2011, boosted XL capacity to 830,000 barrels per day	
http://www.transcanada.com/5907.html	

Total flows of tar sands oil through US pi	pelines and	the value of	the OSLTF ex	cemption.					
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
Tar Sands Production (bpd)	1,470,000	1,576,000	1,765,000	1,881,000	2,053,000	2,156,000	2,293,000	2,413,000	
Tar Sands moving through US pipelines (bpd)	1,135,920	1,258,049	1,401,395	1,506,125	1,673,983	1,768,345	1,905,273	2,017,979	
OSLTF Exemption	\$33,168,856	\$36,735,037	\$40,920,727	\$43,978,861	\$48,880,308	\$51,635,687	\$55,633,972	\$66,290,622	\$377,244,069
Note 1: Production numbers are raw bitumen	so correspon	d to taxable (e	exempt) flows						
Note 2: Tar sands moving through US pipelines includes flows to Ontario via the U.S. based on calculations in the Canadian Consumption sheet.									
<u>Sources</u>									
Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers	- Crude Oil: F	orecasts, Mar	kets & Pipelin	es. June 2011					
Canadian National Energy Board Data. See Car	nadian Consur	nption tab							

Canadian Refinery Demand for Bitumen and SCO Growth forecast for Canadian refinery demand for doemstic heavy and light oil Note that with Canadian conventional oil in decline an increasing proportion of this oil will be derived from tar sands. Feedstock Sources 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 Growth % 2012 Thousands of barrels per day Domestic Light 795 798 917 948 950 972 972 991 25 Domestic Heavy 203 246 <u>248</u> 24 <u>200</u> <u> 197</u> <u> 196</u> <u>242</u> <u>247</u> Total 995 995 1,120 1,144 1,192 1,218 1,219 1,239 25 Source: Canadian National Energy Board, Canada's Energy Future: Energy Supply and Demand Projections to 2035 (Appendices), "Table A3.3 Refinery Feedstocks and Sources, Canada." http://www.neb-one.gc.ca/clf-nsi/rnrgynfmtn/nrgyrprt/nrgyftr/2011/nrgsppldmndprjctn2035ppndc-eng.pdf Forecast figures (f) below based on growth in domestic light and heavy demand as above Light is a proxy for SCO and Heavy a proxy for Crude Bitumen **Canadian Refinery Bitumen** 2011 2013f 2014f 2015f 2016f 2017f Consumption 2010 2012f Barrels per day Ontario SCO 60,134 68,640 78,867 81,533 81,704 83,592 83,592 85,225 Crude Bitumen Charged 15,328 15,499 15,970 19,037 19,351 19,506 <u>15,419</u> 19,428 Total 75,462 96,952 100,741 102,942 103,020 84,139 94,837 104,731 Alberta SCO 359,058 310,141 294,835 338,766 350,216 350,952 359,058 366,074 Crude Bitumen Charged 15,340 14,945 18,109 18,182 18,255 14,504 14,430 17,815 325,481 364,646 377,168 377,240 Total 309,340 353,711 368,767 384,329 **British Columbia** 10,692 SCO 8,599 8,611 9,894 10,228 10,250 10,487 10,487 Crude Bitumen Charged 0 10,487 Total 8,599 8,611 9,894 10,228 10,250 10,487 10,692 **Atlantic Provinces** 0 0 0 0 Crude Bitumen Charged Quebec SCO 0 0 Crude Bitumen Charged 0 0 Total Tar Sands not moving 363,605 374,875 379,017 through USA (Alberta + BC) 334,080 317,951 387,655 387,727 395,021 Source: 2010 and 2011 figures from Statistics Canada, The Supply and Disposition of Refined Petroleum Products in Canada. February 2010, vol. 67, no. 2. http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/45-004-x/45-004-x2012002-eng.pdf